FILED

OCT 3 1 2016

Jorge Navarrete Clerk

D	е	p	u	ty

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,)
PLAINTIFF AND RESPONDENT,)))
VS.) CASE NO. S224564)
LEONEL CONTRERAS and, WILLIAM S. RODRIGUEZ,)))
DEFENDANTS AND APPELLANTS.))) _)

Fourth Appellate District, Division One, Case No. D063428 San Diego Superior Court, Case No. SCD236438 The Honorable Peter C. Deddeh, Judge

WILLIAM S. RODRIGUEZ'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE

Daniel J. Kessler, Esq. SBN 157163 KESSLER & SEECOF, LLP 2254 Moore Street, Suite 201 San Diego, California 92110 (619) 325-0795 Attorney for Appellant William S. Rodriguez Appellant William S. Rodriguez **opposes** respondent's motion for this Court to take judicial notice of its proposed Exhibit 2, "The Board of Parole Hearings Elderly Parole Program June 16, 2014, Memorandum."

The grounds for Mr. Rodriguez's opposition are that the Memorandum does not appear to be a record of a court of this State, or a record of a court of the United States, and its facts and propositions are subject of possible dispute, and are not capable of immediate and accurate determination by resort to sources of reasonable indisputable accuracy so as to be judicially noticeable under Evidence Code section 452, subdivision (d).

Even if it is true, as respondent contends, that the unsigned Memorandum is included in the record of a case called *Plata v. Davis*, case number 3:01-cv-01351-THE, that fact does not establish that the Memorandum is a record of that unnamed court, or that its facts are readily capable of immediate and accurate determination. The Memorandum lacks authentication and contains the hearsay statements of an unknown declarant.

Dated October 27, 2016

Respectfully Submitted,

Daniel J. Kessler, Esq. Kessler & Seecof, LLP

Attorney For Appellant

William S. Rodriguez

People v. Rodriguez, Supreme Court Case No. S224564

CERTIFICATE OF WORD COUNT

Pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 8.204(c), I hereby certify that

WILLIAM S. RODRIGUEZ'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR

JUDICIAL NOTICE has a word count of 297 words.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed in San Diego, California, this 27th day of October, 2016.

By: \

Daniel J. Kessler

Attorney for Appellant

William S. Rodriguez

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am an attorney in the County of San Diego, State of California. I am, and was at the time of the service hereinafter mentioned, over the age of 18 and not a party to this action. My business address is 2254 Moore Street, Suite 201, San Diego, California, 92110. On October 27, 2016, I served the following documents:

WILLIAM S. RODRIGUEZ'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE

by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope addressed as follows:

- Office of the State Attorney General 600 West Broadway, Suite 1800
 P.O. Box 85266, San Diego, CA 92186-5266
- 3. Office of the District Attorney, Appellate Division 330 W. Broadway, Suite 1300, San Diego, CA 92101
- 4. Hon. Peter C. Deddeh C/O Clerk of the Superior Court 220 W. Broadway, San Diego, CA 92101
- 5. Nancy J. King, Esq., Attorney for co-appellant Contreras 1901 First Avenue, Suite 138, San Diego, CA 92101

At that time there was regular delivery in the United States Mail between the place of deposit and the place of delivery.

I am readily familiar with the practice of Kessler & Seecof for the collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. In accordance with the ordinary course of business, the above mentioned document(s) would have been deposited with the United States Postal Service on October 27, 2016, the same day on which it was placed at Kessler & Seecof, LLP for deposit.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this Proof of Service by Mail was executed on October 27, 2016, at San Diego, California.

Daniel J. Kessler